

The Chariton Courier

By J. H. WILLARD
KEYTESVILLE, MISSOURI

Published Every Friday. To Press Thursday

SUBSCRIPTION PRICE:

One Year, \$1.50 in Missouri. Six Months, 80 cents. Eight months, \$1.00. All subscriptions outside Missouri, \$2.00

All advertisements run until ordered discontinued. No advertisement taken for less than 25 cents

Cards of Thanks, Obituaries, Resolutions, Notices of Entertainments of any kind where admission is charged, 5 cents a line

A PLATFORM LIE

The Republican state platform, dictated by Hyde and his machine leaders, reiterates the common falsehood originally conceived in the mind of Hyde, that the consolidation bills which were taken into the referendum would "have saved for the taxpayers of Missouri more than \$1,000,000." Various Republican spokesmen, accepting the lead of Hyde, indulge in this same misrepresentation—not to use the short and ugly term, what are the facts?

The bills affected by the referendum established a Department of Agriculture, a Department of Labor. According to Governor Hyde's own statement, in a speech delivered at St. Louis April 13, 1921, these new departments received appropriations for the period running from June 19, 1921, to January 1, 1923, amounting to \$1,927,120. It will be observed that this period covers only eighteen months of the biennial period.

The bureau superseded by the proposed new departments cost under Gardner's last two years \$1,452,248, or approximately five hundred thousand dollars less than the appropriations for the new departments. But this is not all the story. The new departments were not to be established until ninety days after the legislative adjournment, or June 19, 1921. In the meantime the old bureau had to be cared for by appropriations. What did the legislature do? This Republican legislature, so much commended by Mr. Hyde? This body appropriated for the old bureau, for the entire biennial period, the sum of \$2,474,785, quoting from the Governor's St. Louis speech, or over a million dollars more than these same bureaus cost under Gardner. But this is not all! In computing the cost of the activities of the state represented by this bureau and the new departments, it is necessary to combine the appropriations made for the 18 months and the appropriations made for the period from January 1, 1921, to June 19, 1921, or approximately one-fourth of \$2,474,785. Any novice at figures can see that these state agencies would have cost, even if the new bills had gone into effect when the Governor and the legislature planned that they should, the great sum of \$2,676,658, or \$1,224,210 more than the old bureaus cost under the last two years of Gardner.

The statement contained in the Republican platform is utterly untrue. And that is beyond dispute.

If the legislature had desired to save money for the state, even for the new establishments, it need only have appropriated the same sums for the same bureaus, even when reorganized, that were required under Gardner.

The Democrats throughout the state should hammer home this particular piece of Republican extravagance and keep it before the people from now until the polls close.

You, Mr. Missourian! Do you want a Hyde Supreme Court? No? Then do not forget to vote for Rabland, Walker and White. That's all.

Senator J. Thomas Hefflin says: "The Republican administration and congress made the whole country sick and then placed a high tariff on medicine."

Brother Ben (Hyde) fell down trying to effect a 15 per cent reduction in insurance rates in Missouri. He couldn't get the 15 per and now he is after 10 per and has set November 1st as the date when the 10 per goes into effect, and it is to be expected that if he cannot get the 10 per he'll try for 5, thus keeping up family traditions in being persistent and consistent.

The murderous attack of Preston Young upon his cell mate, John Lee, at the Republican operated state pen, was highly successful. Lee was stabbed by Young. Lee is dead. Gosh! Isn't that the limit? Even prisoners in charge of the state are not safe from attack. Oh, well, this is just another proof of the efficiency of Republican control. Remember this when casting your November 7, 1922, ballot.

Occasionally one hears a report of this and that individual engaged in the peddling of booze—of this and that individual who ought to be given the once over. The remedy is easy. There are ways and means at this time in Chariton county of "getting" these investigations and indictments. It is easy. When you have the "goods"—report your knowledge, and the matter will not be allowed to go by default.

And soon November 7th will be with us and we will all know of the election results. These totals this time will have something to do with the real finals of 1924. Every true democrat will not forget to cast his vote on that day. The popular excuse made by a prominent Missouri senator not long since—something about being "paired"—will not suffice as an argument for not exercising one's franchise this election time.

Gov. Hyde says: "No one wants to talk taxes." All of which from a Republican standpoint may be more or less true. Democrats, however, find taxes a very interesting subject just at this time. If you doubt it just dig up the tax receipts of the last two or three years and then compare them with those you possess as coming from headquarters under the present Republican administration. All of which will aid you in making your decision next November 7—let's see, something about a change, you know.

Let's see. The grand jury has returned some score and more indictments against those selling moonshine stuff, and it seems as though there is a bare possibility that the ones who ought to have been brought to trial are escaping. The real cooties are the manufacturers. Some have been apprehended, to be sure, but the fact remains moonshine is plentiful, and apparently there are others yet to be apprehended. Of course, the grand jury may not have given to the public the complete findings as to indictments, and startling developments may be made public about the time Circuit Court convenes.

And the memoirs of the ex-kaiser. Don't it get in clear under the hide of the fuss and feathers made by some of the great city daily papers? Gee-whiz! Hasn't the war been ended for several years? Can anybody expect a real war story from the ex-kaiser? Is his object to show that "me and Gott" made no mistake? Can't be done. In the first place God had no hand in

it; second, "me" has all too many times been reported as a hopeless, brainless power-drunk mistake. His memoirs can only be particularly interesting to Germany's sympathizers. Americans, a few, may read his gush, just to see what he has to say—in the same light that a full-grown, worldly man goes to the circus, just to see the animals perform—merely curiosity. No, ex-kaiser bill can't come back. Even though he is about to be married soon—in spite of the fact he has been able to peddle his scribbles at a price that makes many an author of merit and worth squirm—the fact remains, he will never be come a man of letters with the possible exception of just five—f-i-e-n-d.

OVERCOATS! OVERCOATS!

We have them in all styles and colors priced from

\$15 to \$40

We invite you to give us a look!

STAMPER BROS.

SALISBURY, MISSOURI

FIVE CHILDREN IN 10 MONTHS

Baird, Tex., Oct. 18.—What is believed to be the record for births is held by Mrs. M. N. Young of Clyde, in Callahan County, to whom has been born five healthy children within the last 10 months.

On December 21, 1921, Mrs. Young became the mother of triplets. A few days ago twins—a boy and a girl—were born. Previously twins and five single children had been born. The entire family of 12 children

are said to be perfectly normal and healthy. Neither the father nor mother have yet reached the thirty-fifth birthday.

We have the lowest rate farm loan money in North Missouri, and want to loan it.

BURWELL & PORTER,
311t Brookfield, Mo.

Try Courier Wants Ads—it pays

THE ONLY VAULT WE CAN RECOMMEND

IT IS not possible for us conscientiously to recommend any other than the Clark Grave Vault, because it is the only one that gives permanent protection.

We all know the "diving bell" principle is Nature's own way of keeping out water and that it is the only absolute grave vault "seal" known.

The use of thick (twelve gauge) Keystone copper-bearing steel makes this vault immune to corrosion, giving it a permanency that cannot possibly be secured with any metal of lesser quality. Furthermore, stone, brick and concrete vaults let water seep in and hold it.

We know this vault will prove every claim, no matter when it may be taken up. We know the Clark Grave Vault will give protection "through the centuries."

Mrs. C. L. Herring &
Son, Keytesville, Mo.

CLARK



GRAVE VAULT

Apportionment of State, County, and Township School Moneys, Year 1922

Annual Apportionment of the School Funds Made by W. W. White, Clerk of the County Court of Chariton County, Missouri, July 1, 1922.

Township	Dist.	State Fund	County Fund	Twp. Fund	Total Fund
Bee Branch	1	\$190.40	\$139.75	\$28.82	\$358.97
	2	196.67	178.19	5.36	380.22
	3	59.64	111.81	3.37	174.82
	28	122.90	192.17	5.79	320.86
	31	186.88	167.71	34.57	389.16

Grand total township \$1,624.03

Bowling Green	105	88.33	108.31	1.00	197.64
	106	159.59	146.74	8.74	315.07
	117	112.23	181.69	1.17	295.09
	118	229.66	129.28	1.19	360.13

Grand township total \$1,167.92

Brunswick	77	129.41	83.86	13.90	227.17
	78	89.17	80.36	13.32	182.85
	79	70.03	69.88	1.56	141.47
	87	137.80	115.20	1.45	254.55
	88	175.91	164.21	5.81	345.93
	89	92.52	101.33	16.79	210.64
	90	72.22	69.88	11.58	153.68
	91	133.97	94.34	16.64	244.95
	108	140.69	143.25	.91	284.85
	109	83.90	76.87	.97	161.74
	110	126.63	75.38	.93	202.99
	112	56.56	69.88	2.72	129.16
	113	66.55	48.91	.32	115.78
	114	145.28	111.81	.72	257.81
	115	63.25	69.88	.45	133.58
	116	49.18	34.83	.23	84.24

Grand total township \$3,127.29

Chariton	129	326.59	369.03	15.56	611.18
	130	57.73	122.29	8.13	188.15
	131	67.89	94.34	10.63	172.86
	136	69.46	279.51	24.01	372.98
	138	62.08	94.34	2.64	159.06

Grand total township \$1,504.03

Clark	4	144.50	146.75	9.08	300.33
	5	122.21	87.34	7.48	217.03
	6	82.09	80.36	6.88	169.33
	7	79.66	48.91	4.19	132.76
	24	132.83	83.86	7.18	223.87
	25	319.00	220.12	18.84	557.96
	26	137.99	118.80	6.19	262.98
	27	134.48	104.83	7.23	246.54

Grand total township \$2,110.80

Cockrell	36	164.50	174.70	4.68	343.88
	60	148.94	101.33	2.64	252.91
	61	171.53	153.74	4.00	329.27
	62	139.73	115.30	3.00	258.03
	63	172.49	174.70	4.55	351.74

Grand total township \$1,535.83

Cunningham	12	147.89	178.19	16.40	342.48
	14	95.68	76.87	.13	172.68
	15	91.00	90.84	.16	182.00
	18	124.61	69.88	6.43	200.92
	45	177.23	164.22	8.43	349.87

Grand total township \$1,247.95

Keytesville	71	160.49	150.23	35.41	346.13
	72	148.52	111.81	8.38	268.71
	73	58.06	41.98	3.14	103.18
	75	53.41	73.87	6.45	133.73
	76	96.46	87.34	14.48	198.28
	92	164.63	143.25	23.74	331.62
	94	123.13	118.80	8.90	250.83
	95	147.32	94.34	7.07	248.73
	96	153.17	118.80	8.90	280.87
	104	133.70	111.81	1.03	246.54
	119	194.09	188.68	1.74	384.51
	120	73.45	129.28	1.19	203.92

Grand total township \$2,996.50

Mendon	47	140.51	164.21	11.37	316.09

Grand total township \$316.09

Missouri	132	133.31	153.74	17.32	304.37
	133	137.92	167.71	42.43	348.06
	134	52.09	132.77	14.95	199.81
	138	115.00	227.11	36.78	378.89

Grand total township \$1,231.13

Musselfork	37	51.52	73.38	1.85	126.75
	38	189.43	108.31	2.74	300.48
	55	128.18	94.34	2.38	224.90
	56	182.02	94.34	2.38	278.74
	57	93.94	90.84	2.29	187.07
	58	92.89	94.34	2.38	189.61
	59	58.15	52.41	1.32	111.88
	74	53.49	66.40	3.93	123.82

Grand total township \$1,543.25

Salisbury	69	134.09	111.81	28.10	274.00
	70	167.39	143.25	36.00	346.64
	97	153.11	195.66	49.18	397.95
	98	88.42	87.34	21.96	197.72
	99	195.08	118.80	4.61	318.49
	100	141.44	125.78	4.89	272.11
	101	96.34	122.29	12.68	231.31
	103	124.55	153.78	2.02	280.30
	121	123.41	125.78	1.65	250.84
	122	87.88	115.30	1.51	204.69
	123	82.39	101.33	1.33	185.05
	124	175.97	115.30	5.95	297.22
	125	59.97	45.43	3.27	108.67
	126	54.31	69.88	7.25	131.44
	127	00.00	10.48	0.00	10.48
	128	00.00	13.98	0.00	13.98

Grand total township \$3,520.89

Salt Creek	39	95.50	76.87	7.28	179.65
	40	141.47	97.83	11.25	250.55
	41	89.02	73.38	8.44	170.84
	51	159.49	111.81	12.85	284.15
	52	151.52	101.33	11.65	264.50
	53	136.34	59.40	6.83	202.57
	54	88.21	87.34	10.04	185.59

Grand total township \$1,537.85

Triplett	46	69.37	104.82	5.38	179.57
	80	87.37	115.30	1.45	204.12
	82	57.43	45.43	8.73	111.59
	83	126.65	129.28	24.85	280.78
	84	53.62	24.46	4.70	82.78
	85	74.05	132.77	25.52	232.34
	86	82.27	59.40	11.41	153.08

Grand total township \$1,244.26

Wayland	32	00.00	17.47	3.60	21.07
	33	95.02	125.78	2.66	223.46

Grand total township \$244.53

Yellow Creek	8	73.21	139.76	10.63	223.60
	9	155.54	122.29	6.61	284.44
	23	152.17	101.33	5.48	258.98

Grand total township \$787.02

Consolidated Districts	1	000.00	122.26	11.25	133.51
	2	1459.39	737.21	56.64	2253.24
	3	1329.76	751.21	28.05	2109.02
	4	639.80	527.59	16.56	1183.95
	5	1276.99	625.41	1.08	1903.48
	6	1261.81	695.28	44.24	2001.33

Grand total \$9,584.53

City and Village Schools:					
Salisbury		\$3316.12	\$2117.31	\$33.63	\$5467.06
Keytesville		2250.61	1345.16	37.65	3633.42
Triplet		1204.50	559.03	7.04	1770.57
Glasgow		0000.00	69.40	00.00	59.40
Brunswick		3032.65	1809.84	68.63	4912.12
Dalton		801.35	524.09	3.38	1328.83
Total		\$29,228.57	\$21,950.40	\$1,287.33	\$52,466.30

Apportionment of Public Funds Under Rural State Aid Law

No. of District, 84 \$295.00

Unconditional Apportionment of Public Funds Under High School State Aid Law

School District of Keytesville \$200.00

Grand Total of Apportionment for the Year, 1922

Amount ap